

ATTENTION

The following documents appearing in FBI files have been reviewed under the provisions of The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (Title 5, United States Code, Section 552), Privacy Act of 1974 (PA) (Title 5, United States Code, Section 552a), and/or Litigation

☐ FOIA/PA☐ Litigation☐ Executive Order Applied

Requester _____

Subject _____

Computer or Case Identification Number _____

Title of Case _____ Section _____

* File _____

Serials Reviewed _____

Release Location *File _____ Section _____

This file section has been scanned into the FOIPA Document Processing System (FDPS) prior to National Security Classification review. Please see the documents located in the FDPS for current classification action, if warranted. Direct inquiries about the FDPS to RIDS Service Request Unit, 202-324-3773

File Number 245-HQ-76 Section 2Serial(s) Reviewed ALL

FOIPA Requester _____

FOIPA Subject _____

FOIPA Computer Number #984989

File Number _____ Section _____

Serial(s) Reviewed _____

FOIPA Requester _____

FOIPA Subject _____

FOIPA Computer Number _____

File Number _____ Section _____

Serial(s) Reviewed _____

FOIPA Requester _____

FOIPA Subject _____

FOIPA Computer Number _____

THIS FORM IS TO BE MAINTAINED AS THE TOP SERIAL OF THE FILE, BUT NOT SERIALIZED.

ATTENTION**DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE**

NO00071960602Z

RR HQ JN MM NY SJ

DE NO-

R 14 2000Z JUUL36

RECEIVED
TELETYPE UNIT

15 JUL 86 06 07

FEDERAL BUREAU
OF INVESTIGATION

FM NEW ORLEANS (245D-7) OF INVESTIGATION

TO DIRECTOR (245D-76) ROUTINE

JACKSON ROUTINE

b7C

MIAMI ROUTINE

NEW YORK ROUTINE

SAN JUAN ROUTINE *VIA FRI HQ*

BT

UNCLAS

MIGUEL VELEZ, AKA; ET AL; ADLER B. SEAL-VICTIM; OCDE TASK FORCE
INVESTIGATION; OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE-MURDER; OO: NEW ORLEANS.

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECIPIENTS, A DISCUSSION
HELD WITH ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY (ADA) [REDACTED] BATON
ROUGE, LOUISIANA, ON JULY 11, 1986, IN WHICH SHE STATED THAT A
NUMBER OF PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS, INCLUDING MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE,
RELATING TO THE FOUR DEFENDANTS WHO ARE CHARGED WITH MURDER AND

5 JUL 23 1986

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 5-15-96 BY SP5BCE/aw
96-048

NOV 26 1986

RELAYED TO

SJ

CONSPIRACY TO MURDER SEAL, ARE SCHEDULED FOR JULY 28, 1986 AT BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.

ADA [] CONSIDERED IT ESSENTIAL THAT THE FOLLOWING FBI AGENTS BE AVAILABLE ON THE MORNING OF JULY 28, 1986 FOR TESTIMONY AS FOLLOWS:

b7C

1. SA [] JACKSON DIVISION, RELATING TO THE ARREST AND EVIDENCE SEIZED FROM DEFENDANT MIGUEL VELEZ.

2. SAs [] SAN JUAN DIVISION, AND [] NEW YORK DIVISION, TO TESTIFY RELATING TO INITIAL QUESTIONING OF VELEZ AND SEARCH WARRANTS OF VELEZ'S HOTEL ROOM.

3. SAs [] MIAMI DIVISION, TO TESTIFY REGARDING DETENTION, QUESTIONING, AND INVENTORY OF PROPERTY OF SUBJECT []

b7C

THE FOLLOWING NEW ORLEANS SAS WILL BE NEEDED TO TESTIFY RELATING TO THE ARRESTS OF SUBJECTS, SEARCH WARRANTS EXECUTED AND SEARCHES INCIDENTAL TO ARREST, AND PHYSICAL SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED: SAS

[] (CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO MIAMI DIVISION),
[]

THE CONTENTS OF THIS TELETYPE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED WITH THE PRINCIPAL LEGAL ADVISOR (PLA), NEW ORLEANS.

UACB, AND WITH CONCURRENCE OF THE SACS INVOLVED CONCERNING THE

PAGE THREE

NO 245D-7

UNCLAS

PREVIOUSLY NAMED SAS, THOSE AGENTS WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE IN BATON
ROUGE FOR TESTIMONY ON JULY 23, 1986. TRAVEL EXPENSES WILL BE
REIMBURSED BY THE STATE OF LOUISIANA.

BT

PAGE TWO NO 9450-7

UNCLAS

DETAILS: MIGUEL VELEZ IS CURRENTLY HOUSED AT THE EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH PRISON, BATON ROUGE, LA., FOLLOWING HIS INDICTMENT IN STATE COURT FOR FIRST DEGREE MURDER AND CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER. VELEZ IS ONE OF FOUR SUBJECTS SIMILARLY CHARGED WITH THE CONTRACT KILLING ON FEBRUARY 19, 1985, OF ADLER B. SEAL, A CONVICTED INTERNATIONAL COCAINE SMUGGLER AND U.S. GOVERNMENT WITNESS. SEAL WAS EXPECTED TO BE A U.S. GOVERNMENT WITNESS IN FORTHCOMING TRIALS IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA AGAINST THE INTERNATIONAL DRUG CARTEL OF [REDACTED] PARLO ESCOBAR CAVARIA AND OTHERS.

b7C

b7C

b7D

b7F

NON-TELEPHONIC CONSENSUAL MONITORING AND/OR CCTV

TO: Chief OC Section
 FROM: SSA
 SUBJECT: ADLER B. SEAL - VICTIM; DOJ - MURDER
 OC DETF MATTER
 OO: NEW ORLEANS

Date 7/9/86

C/SAT Unit

b7C

Attached communication from NEW ORLEANS Field Office, dated 4/7/86, requests Routine, Renewal, Emergency, Emergency Renewal (circle one) authorization for the use of electronic device to monitor and/or record private conversations. Authorization is for 30 days or 60 days (circle one), beginning on 4/4/86. Previous authority granted on N/A and expires/expired on N/A. (Fill in blanks, if applicable.)

Emergency authority granted by CHIEF FRANK STOREY on 4/4/86 to SSA, NEW ORLEANS FIELD DIVISION.

- | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| 1. The consenting party has: | YES | NO |
| a. agreed to testify | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |
| b. executed the consent form | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |
| 2. Recording/transmitting device will only be activated when the consenting party is present | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |
| 3. Government attorney (in Judicial District where interception(s) will take place or who is designated for the investigation) sees no entrapment and approves of the use of this technique as being appropriate | <u>✓</u> | <u> </u> |

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
 DATE 5-15-96 BY SP5BCE/aw
96-048

Subject(s) of this case are alleged to be involved in:

TITLE 18 § 1952
 (nature of violation)

The AG Memorandum of 11/7/83 on consensual monitoring has been reviewed and this request does does not (circle one) require written authorization from the Department of Justice. (See attached checklist)

Authorization to include the use of CCTV. (Yes No) If yes, AG Order 985-82 has been reviewed and use of CCTV does does not (circle one) require DOJ authority. (See attached)

APPLICABLE ACTION MEMORANDUM FORMAT # IF DOJ AUTHORITY REQUIRED: 3
 APPLICABLE CONFIRMING AIRTEL FORMAT # IF DOJ AUTHORITY NOT REQUIRED:

REVIEW/APPROVAL

1. Unit Chief [Signature]
 2. **LCD [Signature]
 3. Approved: [Signature], Section Chief

*Note that 60 day authority is appropriate only in long-term investigations such as UCOS.

** Review and concurrence by LCD is necessary if any of the seven instances requiring written DOJ approval exist or if use of CCTV requires written DOJ approval.

THIS REQUEST DOES NOT REQUIRE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BECAUSE (check appropriately):

_____ it does not relate to an investigation of a member of Congress, a Federal Judge, a member of the Executive Branch at Executive Level IV or above, or a person who has served in such capacity within the previous two years;

_____ it does not relate to an investigation of any public official and the offense investigated is not one involving bribery, conflict of interest, or extortion relating to the performance of his or her official duties;

_____ it does not relate to an investigation of a Federal law enforcement officer;

_____ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not a member of the diplomatic corps of a foreign country;

_____ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not or has not been a member of the Witness Security Program and that fact is known to the agency involved or its officers;

_____ the consenting or nonconsenting person is not in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons or the United States Marshals Service;

_____ the Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, Associate Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, or the United States Attorney in the district where an investigation is being conducted has not requested the investigating agency to obtain prior written consent from DOJ for making a consensual interception in a specific investigation.

THIS REQUEST FOR USE OF CCTV DOES REQUIRE DOJ APPROVAL BECAUSE (check appropriately):

_____ Area to be Viewed - Request includes use of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy otherwise exists, although a participant in the activity to be viewed has consented.

_____ Area to be Viewed - Request includes use of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, but a participant in the activity to be viewed has not consented and, therefore, requires DOJ authority and a court order.

_____ Camera Placement - Request includes placement of CCTV to view the interior of private premises or other area where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists, but a participant in the activity to be viewed has not consented, and, therefore, requires DOJ authority and a court order.

NOO-011 298 0108

PP HQ

DE NO

P 7 2320 Z-APR 86

FM NEW ORLEANS (245D-7) (P)

TO DIRECTOR (245-76) PRIORITY

BT

UNCLAS

MIGUEL VELEZ; [REDACTED]

b7C

[REDACTED] ADLER B. SEAL-VICTIM; OC; DEA TASK FORCE
INVESTIGATION; OOJ-MURDER; OO: NEW ORLEANS.

RE BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA TELEPHONE CALL TO SECTION CHIEF,
ORGANIZED CRIME SECTION, FBIHQ, APRIL 4, 1986.

PURPOSE: ON APRIL 4, 1986, AN FBIHQ OFFICIAL GRANTED EMERGENCY
AUTHORITY TO UTILIZE AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE TO RECORD PRIVATE CONVER-
SATIONS BETWEEN A COOPERATING WITNESS AND [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] BATON ROUGE, LA., AS WELL

b7C

AS [REDACTED]

DUE TO ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT ORIGINAL TELETYPE WAS
NOT RECEIVED BY APPROPRIATE FBIHQ SUPERVISOR AND AS A RESULT
REQUEST FOR CONSENSUAL MONITORING WAS NOT PROCESSED

245D-7-918
SEARCHED

INDEXED

FILED

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 3-15-96 BY SP5BCE/OW

96-043

Office of Enforcement Operations
Criminal Division

July 10, 1986

Director, FBI

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

ADLER B. SEAL - VICTIM;
~~OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE~~ - MURDER;
ORGANIZED CRIME DRUG ENFORCEMENT
TASK FORCE MATTER

ACTION MEMORANDUM

Enclosed is a copy of a communication which furnishes information concerning a current investigation.

This is to notify your office that pursuant to Part III (D) of the Attorney General's Memorandum of November 7, 1983, captioned "Procedures for Lawful, Warrantless Interceptions of Verbal communications," addressed to the Heads and Inspectors General of Executive Departments and Agencies, emergency authorization to utilize an electronic device to monitor and record private non-telephonic conversations with the consent of a party was granted by an appropriate FBI Headquarters official on April 4, 1985. Exigent circumstances precluded a request for authorization in advance.

Monitoring/recording of these conversations was authorized as being in the best interest of law enforcement in that it is expected to provide corroborative evidence and/or to protect the individual(s) utilizing the equipment.

As the authorization is for the interception of conversations, as delineated in Part II(A) of the November 7, 1983 memorandum, and further monitoring/recording is expected in this matter, it is requested that your office approve the use of this equipment for a period of 60 days, beginning on the above mention date.

Enclosure

Exec AD Adm — 1 - ELSUR INDEX. RMD
Exec AD Inv — 1 - [REDACTED]
Exec AD LES — 1 - [REDACTED]
Asst Dir — ALJ/eon (5)

Adm Servs —
Crim Inv —
Ident —
Insp —
Intell —
Lab —
Legal Coun —
Off Cong & Public Affs —
Rec Mgnt —
Tech Servs —
Training —
Telephone Rm —
Director's Sec'y —

NOTE: Emergency authority granted by OC Section Chief F. Storey on 4/4/86. New Orleans Division was advised same date.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 5-15-96 BY SP5 BCE/PL
96-048

2 JUL 22 1986

b7C

APPROVED.

Adm. Serv.
Ext. Affs.

Laboratory

Legal Coun.

Off. of Cong.

& Public Affs.

Director

Exec AD-Adm

Exec AD-Inv

Exec AD-LES

Ident

Inspection

Intell

Rec Mgnt

Tech Servs

Training

FOUR
ELSUR INDEX